



Community Violence Prevention Stakeholder Meeting-Kearney Agenda

5/4/18

Kearney Public Library-South Platte Room

Attended by community members

Agenda

Introductions

Disrupting the Pathways to Violence Project Overview

- Project background and basics
- Why Kearney?
- What are the project goals?
- What is happening with the project locally and preview efforts happening locally and state-wide
- Potential community impacts

Kearney Baseline Data on Barriers to Reporting

Question and Answer Session

Next steps

1. Laura Steele presented a power point on the background of the program
2. Dr Bulling then presented about targeted violence
 - a. The program is specifically looking at targeted or predatory violence
3. Quinn Lewandowski presented on the baseline data gathered from Kearney
4. Dr Bulling then described what we see as a community threat assessment team
 - a. There is a focus on “wrapping” the person in support rather than a punitive group

Group Thoughts/Concerns:

- a. Data seems fairly accurate
 - b. Kearney is law enforcement pro community
 - c. The people in the community are not afraid of law enforcement
 - d. Feels that there is plenty of assistance available if the person is in want of help
2. Reporting
 - a. Reports to the hotline? Other forms of abuse?
 - b. Dr bulling would like to broaden communication between agencies
 - c. Confidentiality within the organization, could this be a problem?
 - i. Discussion within the team may create a duty to report
 - d. Hotlines

- i. Only represent the elderly or children
 - ii. Need reporting for people who are average adults
- e. Law enforcement takes most of calls in relation to prevention of violence
 - i. Used to do welfare checks to determine EPC
 - ii. Crisis response team was created several years ago
 - 1. It would be nice to see something like the crisis response team created in the community to provide additional support
 - 2. Maybe create some follow up that checks in up to a month later
 - iii. Most situations are not criminal
 - 1. Ability to report through access Kearney, crime stoppers, Facebook....
- f. LB1184
 - 1. See revised statute 28-728
 - ii. Similar process where the confidentiality piece is not as important
 - iii. Need to look into confidentiality agreements and understand laws more fully
 - iv. Documentation is another issue
- g. Difficulties
 - i. Who would be in charge?
 - ii. Would the members be paid?
 - iii. Funding source
 - iv. The ability to gather as a group
 - v. Need to create a mechanism of reporting prior to educating the public
- h. Would like CPS hotline, DHHS, FAM, state patrol, county attorney, social workers, Compass, councillors, APS hotline, LMHPs, members of the Crisis response team?
- i. A barrier of service is overburdened caseworkers

Action Items:

- 1. TRPHD is responsible for:
 - a. TRPHD will gather groups who were not represented
 - b. Present Information
 - c. Meeting minutes will be posted
 - d. Schedule next meeting to discuss the practical application of a community assessment team
 - i. Currently Lincoln and Salem, Oregon have threat assessment teams in place



-
- ii. TRPHD/UNL Policy Center will bring members of current teams to speak to the community
 - e. TRPHD can convene, facilitate, train, assist with sharing information
 - f.

In Progress:

1. Survey of the Kearney Community about the barriers to reporting violence
2. Stakeholder meetings in Lexington
3. Creation of a tool kit for public health departments to put in place for rural communities